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Miriam and Antoine Besson have divorced, and Miriam is seeking sole custody of their son Julien to protect him from a father she claims is violent. Antoine pleads his case as a scorned dad and the appointed judge rules in favor of joint custody. A hostage to the escalating conflict between his parents, Julien is pushed to the edge to prevent the worst from happening.
Like in your short film Just Before Losing Everything, you are dealing with a social drama, domestic violence, in a way which generates great tension for the spectator.

Custody is built on fear. The fear inspired by a man prepared to do anything to get back together with a woman who wants to leave him to escape his violent behavior. The character of Antoine, played by Denis Menochet, is a permanent threat for those around him. He makes everyone around him tense; he can only feel his own pain, and he would manipulate anyone, including his children. Women who have suffered domestic violence, like the one played by Léa Drucker, are always on high alert. They know that danger can surface anywhere, any time, and no one is safe. In France, a woman dies every two-and-a-half days as a result of domestic violence, and although the media talk about it, the topic remains largely taboo. Victims are afraid to come forward, neighbors and family don’t say anything, because they don’t want to interfere with the couple’s relationship. There is heavy secrecy. I didn’t want to tackle it like a current affairs subject. As in Just Before Losing Everything, I wanted to raise public awareness about this crisis by using the power of cinema, which has always fascinated me, that of Hitchcock, Haneke, or Chabrol, the kind of cinema which involves the spectator by playing with their intelligence and nerves.
You also cite *Night of the Hunter* by Charles Laughton and *The Shining* by Stanley Kubrick as your main sources of inspiration to approach this subject.

Three films guided me in the writing: Kramer Versus Kramer, *Night of the Hunter*, and *The Shining*. I then forgot about them during the shoot, but they helped me reflect on the themes I wanted to tackle, and to find the moods and atmospheres which my characters move through. *Kramer Versus Kramer* is a film about parental rights which had a big effect on me. For the first time, you see a woman give up exclusive custody rights to her children, and it depicts the pain of separation with terrible acuity. *Night of the Hunter* illustrates how a person can be uncompromising with children to achieve their ends. *The Shining* inspired me for the last part of my film in terms of the madness, the isolation, the terror. Domestic violence can lead to pure horror and that’s what I wanted to show.

How did you use different genres or cinematic codes – realism, social drama, suspense, thriller – and work with them to enrich different layers of your film?

First of all, I did a lot of research. I looked into the work of a family court judge, interviewed lawyers, police officers, social workers, and even attended group therapy sessions for violent men. Such a sensitive subject requires getting as close as possible to reality without simply making a documentary, or a social drama which would in the end just tell the story of a tragic event. By inverting the story’s viewpoint, I was able to highlight the suspense in the day-to-day. I adopted a dramatic approach in which we do follow a “hero”, Antoine, but from the point of view of the various obstacles he has to overcome to achieve his ends: the judge, his son and his ex-wife. As such, the spectator experiences the judge’s doubts, the pressure the child is subjected to and the terror of the hunted wife in real time. I wanted to provide a political and universal reading to the topic, while immersing the spectator in the history of genre cinema (that of a monster seeking its prey), in which the suspense and tension feed the narrative and vice-versa.
For your first feature-length film, you have made some quite firm choices of very sparse directing, notably in terms of the sound.

Yes, there’s practically no music in the film. The tension comes from the use of everyday sounds and their resonance — the echo in an apartment, the indicator on a car, a clock, an alarm. I thought about this early on, the dramatic effects of sound were already in the script. I’m not trying to inject the narrative with a fantasy element, but rather capture the noises of an anxiety-inducing reality. The same goes for the directing, I’m not looking for spectacular effects, but rather the repetition of the same framing, in places that are visited several times, to create a feeling of familiarity, and also of being closed in, to give the impression that we are entering a terrible spiral.

What led you to explore the same theme in both your first two films?

I already had Custody in mind when I made Just Before Losing Everything. It’s a subject which affects me as a citizen and which is no doubt insufficiently dealt with. My short film took me everywhere in France, sometimes abroad, where it was shown in schools to open the debate and to educate young people on this subject. I wanted to continue probing the nature of this violence; the male domination in relationships; the insanity of possessiveness; and the crimes involving the family, which forms the backdrop of many cases, a which is a subject that fascinates me. I also wanted to learn more about the distinction between the marital couple and the parental couple. Does a violent, unsuitable partner necessarily make a bad parent? How can one decide? How can one judge? I investigated this subject. I met a family court judge and I followed her work.
You begin the film in an almost documentary style, with a scene of gripping realism in which the couple go before the judge.

You have to bear in mind such hearings are very short – around 20 minutes, during which everything about the children’s future is decided. The justice system considers that if the violence is aimed at a parent and not the child, there’s no need to break the connection. Yet this is a very complex question, even if the child has a legitimate need to have both parents; it can crystalize the conflict and become a means of pressure, an instrument for the partner who’s been distanced and who can no longer reach the other partner. The judge handles around 20 cases a day, he or she only has a few minutes to assess the situation and see that the law is respected when faced with fragile people who are often playing a role, and with lawyers who are more or less competent. I tried to convey the tension and the emotional charge of that moment by filming it with the intensity of real time, and by putting the spectator in the place of the judge. The characters are positioned on an equal footing, represented by their respective lawyers. Who is the audience going to believe? What do they see unfolding before their eyes? What kind of argument will they be sensitive to? The spectator is plunged into uncertainty and must make their mind up. The film then shows what happens next, what the judge will never see.
Your actors capture this fragility and emotional charge brilliantly; how did you cast them and how did you direct them?

I wrote the part with Léa Drucker in mind. To me, she is very similar to the character of Miriam, with her blend of strength and fragility – a very feet-on-the-ground woman who never slips into pathos. She’s a woman who has been through the storm and who must rebuild her life as she moves on. Léa worked a lot on her role on her own ahead of the shoot. I didn’t give much psychological guidance. I just insisted that she should not act as a the victim at any point.

I’d seen her in a short film in which she was in a very loving relationship with Denis Ménochet. As I think he’s an excellent actor too, I wanted to see them both in a different situation, another phase of love. I worked a lot with Denis on set. We talked about the smallest details. It’s a hard role which means he has to tackle violence, manipulation, and darkness head on, without the audience losing touch with his character, rejecting him and refusing to understand him. He has to get inside the skin of an unhappy man, caught up in internal conflict, who’s trying to be loved but who’s living in denial. Denis Ménochet is terrific for this role. He conveys this combination of robust virility and childhood pain that one often finds in men who are violent towards their partners.
Both Julien and his sister play important parts in the film, and their roles require them to express a great deal of emotion with few words. How do you see the child’s perspective, and in particular Julien’s, as contributing to the film?

The children have very little dialog because that is the very essence of the subject: In domestic violence cases, children’s voices are little heard. And when they do get to speak, they are often not listened to. The story begins with the judge reading out Julien’s statement in front of his parents. This opening crystallizes the central issue in the film: The marital relationship and being a parent. Julien, as the youngest, is at the center of the conflict. There usually two distinct developments for boys who grow up in a climate of domestic violence: Either they reproduce that violence, or they develop a syndrome of hypervigilance to permanently counter it. Julien falls into the second category. He is continually on the alert, using his modest means to protect his mother. For her part, Joséphine is waiting until she’s an adult. She was also raised in a climate of violence and develops behavior characteristic of teenage girls: She flees the family unit to prematurely create her own, leaving with her boyfriend Samuel right after her birthday. As such, through the children, I show the different repercussions that domestic violence can cause in the same family in a “trans-generational” way. Joséphine reproduces a family pattern, becoming a young mother just like her own mother Miriam. One can even imagine that her grandmother had already constructed this phenomenon. Several generations who seem to flee parental authority by becoming mothers themselves as soon as possible.

How did you work with these young actors in preparation and during the shoot?

As for working with Thomas Gioria and Mathilde Auneveux, I had to take a different approach. For Thomas, for whom this was his first acting experience, it was very important for me that he understood the reality of the actor’s work, and that he distinguish between reality and fiction, especially given that his part is very difficult because his character goes through some very extreme situations. From the casting up to filming, Amour Rawyler, a specialist in coaching children, prepared him to tackle the task he was going to face on the shoot. Thomas has a very rare quality for his age, worthy of the best actors, in how he listens and his breathing. By “listening” I mean his presence, his way of listening to what his partner is saying to him. Thomas talks with his eyes, holding a dialog through the intensity of his breathing. He listens in a total way, without trickery. Our job with the coach was to bring out these qualities while preserving the spontaneity that is so precious for a young actor like him. With Mathilde, who plays Joséphine, it was mainly a question of rehearsals, because the sequences she was involved in were technically difficult since they were all single takes requiring great precision, such as the scene in the toilet or the one on her birthday. She had to know her movements to the nearest second so she could feel free to act despite the many constraints.
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